

DOUBLE SHUFFLE RELATION FOR ASSOCIATORS

HIDEKAZU FURUSHO

ABSTRACT. It is proved that Drinfel'd's pentagon equation implies the generalized double shuffle relation. As a corollary, an embedding from the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT_1 into Racinet's double shuffle group DMR_0 is obtained, which settles the project of Deligne-Terasoma. It is also proved that the gamma factorization formula follows from the generalized double shuffle relation.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. GT-relations and generalized double shuffle relation	3
2. Bar constructions	4
3. Proof of theorem 0.2	7
4. Auxiliary lemmas	10
5. Proof of theorem 0.5	11
Appendix A. Review of the proof of Racinet's theorem	12
References	14

0. INTRODUCTION

This paper shows that Drinfel'd's pentagon equation [Dr] implies the generalized double shuffle relation. As a corollary, we obtain an embedding from the Grothendieck-Teichmüller (pro-unipotent) group GRT_1 (loc.cit) to Racinet's double shuffle (pro-unipotent) group DMR_0 ([R]). This realizes the project of Deligne-Terasoma [DT] where a different approach is indicated. Their arguments concern multiplicative convolutions whereas our methods are based on a bar construction calculus. We also prove that the gamma factorization formula follows from the generalized double shuffle relation. It extends the result in [DT, I] where they show that the GT-relations imply the gamma factorization.

Multiple zeta values $\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m)$ are the real numbers defined by the following series

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_m} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_m^{k_m}}$$

for $m, k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbf{N}(=\mathbf{Z}_{>0})$. This converges if and only if $k_m > 1$. They were studied (allegedly) firstly by Euler [E] for $m = 1, 2$. Several types of relations among multiple zeta values have been discussed. In this paper we focus on two types of

relations, GT-relations and generalized double shuffle relations. Both of them are described in terms of the Drinfel'd associator [Dr]

$$\Phi_{KZ}(X_0, X_1) = 1 + \sum (-1)^m \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m) X_0^{k_m-1} X_1 \cdots X_0^{k_1-1} X_1 + (\text{regularized terms})$$

which is a non-commutative formal power series in two variables X_0 and X_1 . Its coefficients including regularized terms are explicitly calculated to be linear combinations of multiple zeta values in [F03] proposition 3.2.3 by Le-Murakami's method [LM]. The Drinfel'd associator is introduced as the connection matrix of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation in [Dr].

The *GT-relations* are a kind of geometric relation. They consist of one pentagon equation (1.1) and two hexagon equations (1.2), (1.3) (see below) for group-like (cf. §1) series. An associator means a group-like series φ satisfying (1.1) and for which there exists μ such that the pair (μ, φ) satisfies (1.2) and (1.3). It is shown in [Dr] that Φ_{KZ} satisfies GT-relations (with $\mu = 2\pi i$) by using symmetry of the KZ-system on configuration spaces. The following is our previous theorem in [F08].

Theorem 0.1 ([F08]theorem 1). *For any group-like series φ satisfying (1.1) there always exists (unique up to signature) $\mu \in \bar{k}$ such that (1.2) and (1.3) hold for (μ, φ) .*

In contrast, the *generalized double shuffle relation* is a kind of combinatorial relation. It arises from two ways of expressing multiple zeta values as iterated integrals and as power series. There are several formulations of the relations (see [IKZ, R]). In particular, they are formulated as (1.5) (see below) for $\varphi = \Phi_{KZ}$ in [R].

Theorem 0.2. *Let φ be a non-commutative formal power series in two variables which is group-like. Suppose that φ satisfies Drinfel'd's pentagon equation (1.1). Then it also satisfies the generalized double shuffle relation (1.5).*

We note that a similar result is announced by Terasoma in [T]. The essential part of our proof is to use the series shuffle formula (3.1) (see below), a functional relation among complex multiple polylogarithms (2.3) and (2.5). This induces the series shuffle formula (3.2) for the corresponding elements in the bar construction of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}$. We evaluate each term of (3.2) at the product of the last two terms of (1.6) in §4 and conclude the series shuffle formula (3.3) for above φ .

The *Grothendieck-Teichmüller group* GRT_1 is a pro-unipotent group introduced by Drinfel'd [Dr] which is closely related to Grothendieck's philosophy of Teichmüller-Lego in [Gr]. Its set of k -valued (k : a field with characteristic 0) points is defined to be the set of associators φ with $\mu = 0$. Its multiplication is given by

$$(0.1) \quad \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2 := \varphi_1(\varphi_2 X_0 \varphi_2^{-1}, X_1) \cdot \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \cdot \varphi_1(X_0, \varphi_2^{-1} X_1 \varphi_2).$$

In contrast, the *double shuffle group* DMR_0 is a pro-unipotent group introduced by Racinet [R]. Its set of k -valued points consists of group-like series φ which satisfy (1.5)¹ and $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = c_{X_0 X_1}(\varphi) = 0$. (For a monic monomial W , $c_W(\varphi)$ is the coefficient of W in φ .) Its multiplication² is given by the equation (0.1). It is conjectured that both groups are isomorphic to the unipotent part of the motivic

¹ For our convenience, we change some signatures in the original definition ([R] definition 3.2.1.))

² Again for our convenience, we change the order of multiplication in [R] (3.1.2.1.)

Galois group of \mathbf{Z} ; the Galois group of unramified mixed Tate motives (as explained in [A]). The followings are direct corollaries of our theorem 0.2 since the equations (1.2) and (1.3) for (μ, φ) imply $c_{X_0 X_1}(\varphi) = \frac{\mu^2}{24}$.

Corollary 0.3. *The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group GRT_1 is embedded in the double shuffle group DMR_0 as pro-algebraic groups.*

Considering their associated Lie algebras, we get an embedding from the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra \mathfrak{grt}_1 [Dr] into the double shuffle Lie algebra \mathfrak{dmr}_0 [R].

Corollary 0.4. *For $\mu \in k^\times$, the Grothendieck-Teichmüller torsor M_μ is embedded in the double shuffle torsor DMR_μ as pro-torsors.*

Here M_μ is the right GRT_1 -torsor in [Dr] whose action is given by the equation (0.1) and whose set of k -valued points is defined to be the collection of associators φ with μ and DMR_μ is the right DMR_0 -torsor in [R] whose action is given by the equation (0.1) and whose set of k -valued points is defined to the collection of group-like series φ which satisfy (1.5), $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0$ and $c_{X_0 X_1}(\varphi) = \frac{\mu^2}{24}$ respectively. We note that Φ_{KZ} gives an element of $M_\mu(\mathbf{C})$ and $DMR_\mu(\mathbf{C})$ with $\mu = 2\pi i$.

Let $\varphi \in k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$ be a non-commutative formal power series in two variables which is group-like with $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0$. It is uniquely expressed as $\varphi = 1 + \varphi_{X_0} X_0 + \varphi_{X_1} X_1$ with $\varphi_{X_0}, \varphi_{X_1} \in k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$. The meta-abelian quotient $B_\varphi(x_0, x_1)$ of φ is defined to be $(1 + \varphi_{X_1} X_1)^{\text{ab}}$ where $h \mapsto h^{\text{ab}}$ is the abelianization map $k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k[[x_0, x_1]]$. The following is our second main theorem.

Theorem 0.5. *Let φ be a non-commutative formal power series in two variables which is group-like with $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0$. Suppose that it satisfies the generalized double shuffle relation (1.5). Then its meta-abelian quotient is gamma-factorisable, i.e. there exists a unique series $\Gamma_\varphi(s)$ in $1 + s^2 k[[s]]$ such that*

$$(0.2) \quad B_\varphi(x_0, x_1) = \frac{\Gamma_\varphi(x_0) \Gamma_\varphi(x_1)}{\Gamma_\varphi(x_0 + x_1)}.$$

The gamma element Γ_φ gives the correction term φ_{corr} of the series shuffle regularization (1.4) by $\varphi_{\text{corr}} = \Gamma_\varphi(-Y_1)^{-1}$.

This theorem extends the results in [DT, I] which show that for any group-like series satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) its meta-abelian quotient is gamma factorisable. It is calculated in [Dr] that $\Gamma_\varphi(s) = \exp\{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} s^n\} = e^{-\gamma s} \Gamma(1-s)$ (γ : Euler constant, $\Gamma(s)$: the classical gamma function) especially for $\varphi = \Phi_{KZ}$.

§1 is a review of the GT-relations and the generalized double shuffle relation. §2 is to recall bar constructions which is a main tool of the proof of theorem 0.2 in §3. Auxiliary lemmas which are necessary to the proof are shown in §4. Theorem 0.5 is proved in §5. Appendix A is a brief review of the essential part of the proof of Racinet's theorem that DMR_0 forms a group.

1. GT-RELATIONS AND GENERALIZED DOUBLE SHUFFLE RELATION

Let k be a field with characteristic 0. Let $U\mathfrak{F}_2 = k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$ be a non-commutative formal power series ring in two variables X_0 and X_1 . An element $\varphi = \varphi(X_0, X_1)$ is called *group-like* if it satisfies $\Delta(\varphi) = \varphi \otimes \varphi$ with $\Delta(X_0) = X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0$ and $\Delta(X_1) = X_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_1$ and its constant term is 1.

Here, $\widehat{\otimes}$ means the completed tensor product. For any k -algebra homomorphism $\iota : U\mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow S$ the image $\iota(\varphi) \in S$ is denoted by $\varphi(\iota(X_0), \iota(X_1))$. Let \mathfrak{a}_4 be the completion (with respect to the natural grading) of the pure braid Lie algebra with 4-strings; the Lie algebra over k with generators t_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq 4$) and defining relations $t_{ii} = 0$, $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$, $[t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0$ (i, j, k : all distinct) and $[t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$ (i, j, k, l : all distinct). Let $\varphi = \varphi(X_0, X_1)$ be a group-like element of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ and $\mu \in k$. The *GT-relations* for (μ, φ) consist of one pentagon equation

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(t_{12}, t_{23} + t_{24})\varphi(t_{13} + t_{23}, t_{34}) = \varphi(t_{23}, t_{34})\varphi(t_{12} + t_{13}, t_{24} + t_{34})\varphi(t_{12}, t_{23})$$

and two hexagon equations

$$(1.2) \quad \exp\left\{\frac{\mu(t_{13} + t_{23})}{2}\right\} = \varphi(t_{13}, t_{12}) \exp\left\{\frac{\mu t_{13}}{2}\right\} \varphi(t_{13}, t_{23})^{-1} \exp\left\{\frac{\mu t_{23}}{2}\right\} \varphi(t_{12}, t_{23}),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \exp\left\{\frac{\mu(t_{12} + t_{13})}{2}\right\} = \varphi(t_{23}, t_{13})^{-1} \exp\left\{\frac{\mu t_{13}}{2}\right\} \varphi(t_{12}, t_{13}) \exp\left\{\frac{\mu t_{12}}{2}\right\} \varphi(t_{12}, t_{23})^{-1}.$$

Let $\pi_Y : k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Y_1, Y_2, \dots \rangle\rangle$ be the k -linear map between non-commutative formal power series rings that sends all the words ending in X_0 to zero and the word $X_0^{n_m-1} X_1 \cdots X_0^{n_1-1} X_1$ ($n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbf{N}$) to $(-1)^m Y_{n_m} \cdots Y_{n_1}$. Define the coproduct Δ_* on $k\langle\langle Y_1, Y_2, \dots \rangle\rangle$ by $\Delta_* Y_n = \sum_{i=0}^n Y_i \otimes Y_{n-i}$ with $Y_0 := 1$. For $\varphi = \sum_{W: \text{word}} c_W(\varphi) W \in k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$, define the series shuffle regularization $\varphi_* = \varphi_{\text{corr}} \cdot \pi_Y(\varphi)$ with the correction term

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi_{\text{corr}} = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} c_{X_0^{n-1} X_1}(\varphi) Y_1^n\right).$$

For a group-like series $\varphi \in U\mathfrak{F}_2$ the *generalised double shuffle relation* means the equality

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta_*(\varphi_*) = \varphi_* \widehat{\otimes} \varphi_*.$$

Let \mathfrak{P}_5 stand for the completion (with respect to the natural grading) of the pure sphere braid Lie algebra with 5 strings; the Lie algebra over k generated by X_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq 5$) with relations $X_{ii} = 0$, $X_{ij} = X_{ji}$, $\sum_{j=1}^5 X_{ij} = 0$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 5$) and $[X_{ij}, X_{kl}] = 0$ if $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$. Denote its universal enveloping algebra by $U\mathfrak{P}_5$. (Note: $X_{45} = X_{12} + X_{13} + X_{23}$, $X_{51} = X_{23} + X_{24} + X_{34}$.) There is a surjection $\tau : \mathfrak{a}_4 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_5$ sending t_{ij} to X_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq 4$). Its kernel is the center of \mathfrak{a}_4 generated by $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} t_{ij}$. By [F08] lemma 5, theorem 0.2 is reduced to the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let φ be a group-like element of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ with $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0$. Suppose that φ satisfies the 5-cycle relation in $U\mathfrak{P}_5$*

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi(X_{34}, X_{45})\varphi(X_{51}, X_{12})\varphi(X_{23}, X_{34})\varphi(X_{45}, X_{51})\varphi(X_{12}, X_{23}) = 1.$$

Then it also satisfies the generalized double shuffle relation, i.e. $\Delta_(\varphi_*) = \varphi_* \widehat{\otimes} \varphi_*$.*

2. BAR CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section we review the notion of bar construction and multiple polylogarithm functions which are essential to prove our main theorem.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ be the moduli space $\{(x_1, \dots, x_4) \in (\mathbf{P}_k^1)^4 | x_i \neq x_j (i \neq j)\} / PGL_2(k)$ of 4 different points in \mathbf{P}^1 . It is identified with $\{z \in \mathbf{P}^1 | z \neq 0, 1, \infty\}$ by sending

$[(0, z, 1, \infty)]$ to z . Let $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ be the moduli space $\{(x_1, \dots, x_5) \in (\mathbf{P}_k^1)^5 | x_i \neq x_j (i \neq j)\} / PGL_2(k)$ of 5 different points in \mathbf{P}^1 . It is identified with $\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{G}_m^2 | x \neq 1, y \neq 1, xy \neq 1\}$ by sending $[(0, xy, y, 1, \infty)]$ to (x, y) .

For $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{0,4}/k$ or $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}/k$, we consider Brown's variant $V(\mathcal{M})$ [B] of Chen's reduced bar construction [C]. This is a graded Hopf algebra $V(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V_m$ ($\subset TV_1 = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V_1^{\otimes m}$) over k . Here $V_0 = k$, $V_1 = H_{DR}^1(\mathcal{M})$ and V_m is the totality of linear combinations (finite sums) $\sum_{I=(i_m, \dots, i_1)} c_I [\omega_{i_m} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}] \in V_1^{\otimes m}$ ($c_I \in k$, $\omega_{i_j} \in V_1$, $[\omega_{i_m} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}] := \omega_{i_m} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{i_1}$) satisfying the integrability condition

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{I=(i_m, \dots, i_1)} c_I [\omega_{i_m} | \omega_{i_{m-1}} | \dots | \omega_{i_{j+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_j} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}] = 0$$

in $V_1^{\otimes m-j-1} \otimes H_{DR}^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes V_1^{\otimes j-1}$ for all j ($1 \leq j < m$).

For $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{0,4}$, $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$ is generated by $\omega_0 = d \log(z)$ and $\omega_1 = d \log(z-1)$ and $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_1 = 0$. We identify $V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$ with the graded k -linear dual of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ by $\text{Exp}\Omega_4 = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(x; X_0, X_1) := \sum X_{i_m} \dots X_{i_1} \otimes [\omega_{i_m} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}] \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$. Here the sum is taken over $m \geq 0$ and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{0, 1\}$. It is easy to see that the identification is compatible with Hopf algebra structures. We note that the product $l_1 \cdot l_2 \in V(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$ for $l_1, l_2 \in V(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$ is given by $l_1 \cdot l_2(f) := \sum_i l_1(f_1^{(i)}) l_2(f_2^{(i)})$ for $f \in U\mathfrak{F}_2$ with $\Delta(f) = \sum_i f_1^{(i)} \otimes f_2^{(i)}$. Occasionally we regard $V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$ as the regular function ring of $F_2(k) = \{g \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 | g : \text{group-like}\} = \{g \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 | g(0) = 1, \Delta(g) = g \otimes g\}$.

For $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{0,5}$, $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$ is generated by $\omega_{k,l} = d \log(x_k - x_l)$ ($1 \leq k, l \leq 5$) with relations $\omega_{ii} = 0$, $\omega_{ij} = \omega_{ji}$, $\sum_{j=1}^5 \omega_{ij} = 0$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 5$) and $\omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_{kl} = 0$ if $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$. By definition $V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$ is naturally identified with the graded k -linear dual of $U\mathfrak{P}_5$. The identification is induced from

$$\text{Exp}\Omega_5 := \sum X_{J_m} \dots X_{J_1} \otimes [\omega_{J_m} | \dots | \omega_{J_1}] \in U\mathfrak{P}_5 \hat{\otimes} TV_1$$

where the sum is taken over $m \geq 0$ and $J_1, \dots, J_m \in \{(k, l) | 1 \leq k < l \leq 5\}$.

Remark 2.1. Here every monomial in $U\mathfrak{P}_5$ appears in the left-hand tensor factor of $\text{Exp}\Omega_5$, but when these monomials are gathered in terms of a linear basis of $U\mathfrak{P}_5$, the right-hand tensor factors automatically gather into linear combinations which forms a basis of $V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$, and all of which satisfies (2.1). Hence $\text{Exp}\Omega_5$ lies on $U\mathfrak{P}_5 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$.

Especially the identification between degree 1 terms is given by

$$\Omega_5 = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq 5} X_{kl} d \log(x_k - x_l) \in \mathfrak{P}_5 \otimes H_{DR}^1(\mathcal{M}).$$

In terms of the coordinate (x, y) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_5 &= X_{12} d \log(xy) + X_{13} d \log y + X_{23} d \log y(1-x) + X_{24} d \log(1-xy) + X_{34} d \log(1-y) \\ &= X_{12} d \log x + X_{23} d \log(1-x) + (X_{12} + X_{13} + X_{23}) d \log y + X_{34} d \log(1-y) \\ &\quad + X_{24} d \log(1-xy) \\ &= X_{12} \frac{dx}{x} + X_{23} \frac{dx}{x-1} + X_{45} \frac{dy}{y} + X_{34} \frac{dy}{y-1} + X_{24} \frac{ydx + xdy}{xy-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the identification is compatible with Hopf algebra structures. We note again that the product $l_1 \cdot l_2 \in V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$ for $l_1, l_2 \in V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$ is given by

$l_1 \cdot l_2(f) := \sum_i l_1(f_1^{(i)}) l_2(f_2^{(i)})$ for $f \in U\mathfrak{P}_5$ with $\Delta(f) = \sum_i f_1^{(i)} \otimes f_2^{(i)}$ (Δ : the coproduct of $U\mathfrak{P}_5$). Occasionally we also regard $V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$ as the regular function ring of $P_5(k) = \{g \in U\mathfrak{P}_5 | g : \text{group-like}\}$.

For the moment assume that k is a subfield of \mathbf{C} . We have an embedding (called a realisation in [B]§1.2, §3.6) $\rho : V(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow I_o(\mathcal{M})$ as algebra over k which sends $\sum_{I=(i_m, \dots, i_1)} c_I [\omega_{i_m} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}]$ ($c_I \in k$) to $\sum_I c_I \text{It} \int_o \omega_{i_m} \circ \dots \circ \omega_{i_1}$. Here $\sum_I c_I \text{It} \int_o \omega_{i_m} \circ \dots \circ \omega_{i_1}$ means the iterated integral defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_I c_I \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{m-1} < t_m < 1} \omega_{i_m}(\gamma(t_m)) \cdot \omega_{i_{m-1}}(\gamma(t_{m-1})) \cdots \omega_{i_1}(\gamma(t_1))$$

for all analytic paths $\gamma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{C})$ starting from the tangential basepoint o (defined by $\frac{d}{dz}$ for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ and defined by $\frac{d}{dx}$ and $\frac{d}{dy}$ for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{0,5}$) at the origin in \mathcal{M} (for its treatment see also [De]§15) and $I_o(\mathcal{M})$ ³ denotes the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{an}}$ -module generated by all such homotopy invariant iterated integrals with $m \geq 1$ and holomorphic 1-forms $\omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_m} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$.

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^k$, its weight and its depth are defined to be $wt(\mathbf{a}) = a_1 + \dots + a_k$ and $dp(\mathbf{a}) = k$ respectively. Put $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|z| < 1$. Consider the following complex function which is called the *one variable multiple polylogarithm*

$$(2.3) \quad Li_{\mathbf{a}}(z) := \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_k} \frac{z^{m_k}}{m_1^{a_1} \cdots m_k^{a_k}}.$$

It satisfies the following differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dz} Li_{\mathbf{a}}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z} Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k-1)}(z) & \text{if } a_k \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-z} Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})}(z) & \text{if } a_k = 1, k \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-z} & \text{if } a_k = 1, k = 1. \end{cases}$$

It gives an iterated integral starting from o , which lies on $I_o(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$. Actually it corresponds to an element of $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$ denoted by $l_{\mathbf{a}}$. It is expressed as

$$(2.4) \quad l_{\mathbf{a}} = (-1)^k \underbrace{|\omega_0| \cdots |\omega_0|}_{a_k-1} \underbrace{|\omega_1| \cdots |\omega_0|}_{a_{k-1}-1} \cdots \underbrace{|\omega_1| \cdots |\omega_0|}_{a_1-1} |\omega_1|$$

and is calculated by $l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi) = (-1)^k c_{X_0^{a_k-1} X_1 X_0^{a_{k-1}-1} X_1 \cdots X_0^{a_1-1} X_1}(\varphi)$ for a group-like series $\varphi = \sum_{W: \text{word}} c_W(\varphi) W$.

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^k$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_l) \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}^l$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|x| < 1$ and $|y| < 1$, consider the following complex function which is called the *two variables multiple polylogarithm*

$$(2.5) \quad Li_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(x, y) := \sum_{\substack{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_k \\ < n_1 < \dots < n_l}} \frac{x^{m_k} y^{n_l}}{m_1^{a_1} \cdots m_k^{a_k} n_1^{b_1} \cdots n_l^{b_l}}.$$

It satisfies the following differential equations in [BF]§5

³ In [B] it is denoted by $L_o(\mathcal{M})$.

(2.6)

$$\frac{d}{dx} Li_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k-1), \mathbf{b}}(x, y) & \text{if } a_k \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-x} Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}), \mathbf{b}}(x, y) - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x}\right) Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1), (b_2, \dots, b_l)}(x, y) & \text{if } a_k = 1, k \neq 1, l \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-x} Li_{\mathbf{b}}(y) - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x}\right) Li_{(b_1), (b_2, \dots, b_l)}(x, y) & \text{if } a_k = 1, k = 1, l \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-x} Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}), \mathbf{b}}(x, y) - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x}\right) Li_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1)}(xy) & \text{if } a_k = 1, k \neq 1, l = 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-x} Li_{\mathbf{b}}(y) - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x}\right) Li_{\mathbf{b}}(xy) & \text{if } a_k = 1, k = 1, l = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{d}{dy} Li_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y} Li_{\mathbf{a}, (b_1, \dots, b_{l-1}, b_l-1)}(x, y) & \text{if } b_l \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-y} Li_{\mathbf{a}, (b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})}(x, y) & \text{if } b_l = 1, l \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-y} Li_{\mathbf{a}}(xy) & \text{if } b_l = 1, l = 1. \end{cases}$$

By analytic continuation, the functions $Li_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(x, y)$, $Li_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(y, x)$, $Li_{\mathbf{a}}(x)$, $Li_{\mathbf{a}}(y)$ and $Li_{\mathbf{a}}(xy)$ give iterated integrals starting from o , which lie on $I_o(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$. They correspond to elements of $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$ by the map ρ denoted by $l_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{x,y}$, $l_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{y,x}$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^x$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^y$ and $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}$ respectively. Note that they are expressed as

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{I=(i_m, \dots, i_1)} c_I [\omega_{i_m} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}]$$

for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ with $c_I \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\omega_{i_j} \in \left\{ \frac{dx}{x}, \frac{dx}{1-x}, \frac{dy}{y}, \frac{dy}{1-y}, \frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy} \right\}$. It is easy to see that they indeed lie on $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$, i.e. they satisfy the integrability condition (2.1), by induction on weights: Suppose that $l_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{x,y}$ is expressed as above. Then by our induction assumption, (2.1) holds for $1 \leq j \leq m-2$. By the integrability of the complex analytic function $Li_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(x, y)$, we have $d \circ dLi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(x, y) = 0$. This implies (2.1) for $j = m-1$. The same arguments also work for $l_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{y,x}$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^x$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^y$ and $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}$.

Examples 2.2. Put $\alpha_0 = \frac{dx}{x}$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{dx}{1-x}$, $\beta_0 = \frac{dy}{y}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{dy}{1-y}$ and $\gamma = \frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy}$. The function $Li_{2,1}(x, y)$ corresponds to $l_{2,1}^{x,y} = [\beta_1|\alpha_0|\gamma] + [\beta_1|\beta_0|\gamma] + [\alpha_0|\beta_1|\gamma] + [\alpha_0|\alpha_1|\beta_1] - [\alpha_0|\alpha_0|\gamma] - [\alpha_0|\alpha_1|\gamma]$. By a direct computation it can be checked that $l_{2,1}^{x,y}$ lies on $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$. The analytic continuation $\rho(l_{2,1}^{x,y})$ of $Li_{2,1}(x, y)$ is calculated by (2.2). Especially when we take any path $\gamma(t)$ starting from o to (x, y) in the open unit disk of $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}(\mathbf{C})$ we get the expression (2.5) of $Li_{2,1}(x, y)$ for $|x|, |y| < 1$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.2

This section gives a proof of theorem 0.2.

Suppose that φ is an element as in theorem 1.1. Recall that multiple polylogarithms satisfy the analytic identity, the series shuffle formula in $I_o(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$

$$(3.1) \quad Li_{\mathbf{a}}(x) \cdot Li_{\mathbf{b}}(y) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh^{\leq}(k, l)} Li_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}(\sigma(x, y)).$$

Here $Sh^{\leq}(k, l) := \cup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{ \sigma : \{1, \dots, k+l\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\} | \sigma \text{ is onto}, \sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(k), \sigma(k+1) < \dots < \sigma(k+l) \}$, $\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := ((c_1, \dots, c_j), (c_{j+1}, \dots, c_N))$ with

$$\{j, N\} = \{\sigma(k), \sigma(k+l)\},$$

$$c_i = \begin{cases} a_s + b_{t-k} & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(i) = \{s, t\} \text{ with } s < t, \\ a_s & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(i) = \{s\} \text{ with } s \leq k, \text{ and } \sigma(x, y) = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(N) = k, k+l, \\ (x, y) & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(N) = k+l, \\ (y, x) & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(N) = k. \end{cases} \\ b_{s-k} & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(i) = \{s\} \text{ with } s > k, \end{cases}$$

Since ρ is an embedding of algebras, the above analytic identity implies the algebraic identity, the series shuffle formula in $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$

$$(3.2) \quad l_{\mathbf{a}}^x \cdot l_{\mathbf{b}}^y = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh \leq (k,l)} l_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}^{\sigma(x,y)}.$$

The validity of this equation (3.2) is enough non-trivial.

Examples 3.1. Under the notation in example 2.2, $l_2^x = [\alpha_0|\alpha_1]$, $l_1^y = [\beta_1]$, $l_3^{xy} = [\alpha_0|\beta_0|\alpha_0+\beta_0|\gamma]$ and $l_{1,2}^{y,x} = [\beta_1|\alpha_0|\alpha_1] - [\beta_0|\alpha_0|\gamma] - [\beta_0|\beta_0|\gamma] - [\beta_1|\alpha_0|\gamma] - [\beta_1|\beta_0|\gamma] + [\alpha_0|\beta_1|\alpha_1] - [\alpha_0|\beta_0|\gamma] - [\alpha_0|\beta_1|\gamma] + [\alpha_0|\alpha_1|\gamma]$. It can be checked the equation (3.2) in the case of $\mathbf{a} = (2)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (1)$ by a direct calculation (for $l_{2,1}^{x,y}$ see example 2.2.)

Evaluation of the equation (3.2) at the group-like element $\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}$ ⁴ gives the series shuffle formula

$$(3.3) \quad l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi) \cdot l_{\mathbf{b}}(\varphi) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh \leq (k,l)} l_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}(\varphi)$$

for admissible⁵ indices \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} because of lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2 below.

Define the integral regularized value $l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)$ and series regularized value $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi)$ in $k[T]$ (T : a parameter which stands for $\log x$) for all indices \mathbf{a} as follows: $l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)$ is defined by $l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi)$. (Equivalently $l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)$ for any index \mathbf{a} is uniquely defined in such a way that the iterated integral shuffle formulae (cf.[BF]§7) remain valid for all indices \mathbf{a} with $l_1^I(\varphi) := -T$ and $l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi) := l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$ for all admissible indices \mathbf{a} .) Similarly put $l_1^S(\varphi) := -T$ and put $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi) := l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$ for all admissible indices \mathbf{a} . Then by the results in [H], $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi)$ for a non-admissible index \mathbf{a} is also uniquely defined in such a way that the series shuffle formulae (3.3) remain valid for $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi)$ with all indices \mathbf{a} .

Let \mathbb{L} be the k -linear map from $k[T]$ to itself defined via the generating function:

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{L}(\exp Tu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}(T^n) \frac{u^n}{n!} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n^I(\varphi) \frac{u^n}{n} \right\} \left(= \exp \left\{ Tu - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n(\varphi) \frac{u^n}{n} \right\} \right).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let φ be an element as in theorem 1.1. Then the regularization relation holds, i.e. $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi) = \mathbb{L}(l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi))$ for all indices \mathbf{a} .*

Proof . We may assume that \mathbf{a} is non-admissible because the proposition is trivial if \mathbf{a} is admissible. When \mathbf{a} is of the form $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$, the proof is given by the same argument as in [Go] lemma 7.9 as follows: By the series shuffle formulae,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k l_{k+1}^S(\varphi) \cdot \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)}_{m-k} = (m+1) \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)}_{m+1}$$

⁴ For simplicity we mean φ_{ijk} for $\varphi(X_{ij}, X_{jk}) \in U\mathfrak{P}_5$.

⁵ An index $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is called *admissible* if $a_k > 1$.

for $m \geq 0$. Here we put $l_\emptyset^S(\varphi) = 1$. This means

$$\sum_{k,l \geq 0} (-1)^k l_{k+1}^S(\varphi) \cdot \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)}_l u^{k+l} = \sum_{m \geq 0} (m+1) \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)}_{m+1} u^m.$$

Put $f(u) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)}_n u^n$. Then the above equality can be read as

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k l_{k+1}^S(\varphi) u^k = \frac{d}{du} \log f(u).$$

Integrating and adjusting constant terms gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)}_n u^n = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n l_n^S(\varphi) \frac{u^n}{n} \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n l_n^I(\varphi) \frac{u^n}{n} \right\}$$

because $l_n^S(\varphi) = l_n^I(\varphi) = l_n(\varphi)$ for $n > 1$ and $l_1^S(\varphi) = l_1^I(\varphi) = -T$. Since $l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi) = \frac{(-T)^m}{m!}$ for $\mathbf{a} = \underbrace{(1,1,\dots,1)}_m$, we get $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi) = \mathbb{L}(l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi))$.

When \mathbf{a} is of the form $(\mathbf{a}', \underbrace{1,1,\dots,1}_l)$ with \mathbf{a}' admissible, the proof is given by

the following induction on l . By (3.2),

$$l_{\mathbf{a}'}^x(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) \cdot \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^y}_{l}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh \leq (k,l)} l_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}', \underbrace{(1,1,\dots,1)}_l)}^{\sigma(x,y)}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})$$

with $k = dp(\mathbf{a}')$. By lemma 4.3 and lemma 4.4,

$$l_{\mathbf{a}'}(\varphi) \cdot \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^I}_{l}(\varphi) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh \leq (k,l)} l_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}', \underbrace{(1,1,\dots,1)}_l)}^I(\varphi).$$

Then by our induction assumption, taking the image by the map \mathbb{L} gives

$$l_{\mathbf{a}'}(\varphi) \cdot \underbrace{l_{1,1,\dots,1}^S}_{l}(\varphi) = \mathbb{L}(l_{\mathbf{a}', \underbrace{(1,1,\dots,1)}_l}^I(\varphi)) + \sum_{\sigma \neq id \in Sh \leq (k,l)} l_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}', \underbrace{(1,1,\dots,1)}_l)}^S(\varphi).$$

Since $l_{\mathbf{a}'}^S(\varphi)$ and $l_{1,\dots,1}^S(\varphi)$ satisfy the series shuffle formula, $\mathbb{L}(l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi))$ must be equal to $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi)$. \square

Embed $k\langle\langle Y_1, Y_2, \dots \rangle\rangle$ into $k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$ by sending Y_m to $-X_0^{m-1}X_1$. Then by the above proposition,

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi) &= \mathbb{L}(l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)) = \mathbb{L}(l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi)) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{L}(e^{TX_1}\pi_Y(\varphi))) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\exp \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n^I(\varphi) \frac{X_1^n}{n} \right\} \cdot \pi_Y(\varphi)) \\ &= l_{\mathbf{a}}(\exp \left\{ -TY_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} c_{X_0^{n-1}X_1}(\varphi) Y_1^n \right\} \cdot \pi_Y(\varphi)) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{-TY_1}\varphi_*) \end{aligned}$$

for all \mathbf{a} because $l_1(\varphi) = 0$. As for the third equality we use $(\mathbb{L} \otimes_k id) \circ (id \otimes_k l_{\mathbf{a}}) = (id \otimes_k l_{\mathbf{a}}) \circ (\mathbb{L} \otimes_k id)$ on $k[T] \otimes_k k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$. All $l_{\mathbf{a}}^S(\varphi)$'s satisfy the series shuffle formulae (3.3), so the $l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{-TY_1}\varphi_*)$'s do also. By putting $T = 0$, we get that $l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi_*)$'s also satisfy the series shuffle formulae for all \mathbf{a} . Therefore $\Delta_*(\varphi_*) = \varphi_* \hat{\otimes} \varphi_*$. This completes the proof of theorem 1.1, which implies theorem 0.2. \square

4. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

We prove all lemmas which are required to prove theorem 0.2 in the previous section.

Lemma 4.1. *Let φ be a group-like element in $k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$ with $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0$. Then $l_{\mathbf{a}}^x(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^y(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$ and $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$ for any indices \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} .*

Proof . Consider the map $\mathcal{M}_{0,5} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ sending $[(x_1, \dots, x_5)] \mapsto [(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5)]$. This induces the projection $p_4 : U\mathfrak{P}_5 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{F}_2$ sending $X_{12} \mapsto X_0$, $X_{23} \mapsto X_1$ and $X_{i4} \mapsto 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{Z}/5$). Express $l_{\mathbf{a}}$ as (2.4). Since $(p_4 \otimes id)(\text{Exp}\Omega_5) = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(x) \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$, it induces the map $p_4^* : V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$ which gives $p_4^*([\frac{dz}{z}]) = [\frac{dx}{x}]$ and $p_4^*([\frac{dz}{1-z}]) = [\frac{dx}{1-x}]$. Hence $p_4^*(l_{\mathbf{a}}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^x$. Then $l_{\mathbf{a}}^x(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(p_4(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$ because $p_4(\varphi_{451}) = 0$ by our assumption.

Next consider the map $\mathcal{M}_{0,5} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ sending $[(x_1, \dots, x_5)] \mapsto [(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5)]$. This induces the projection $p_2 : U\mathfrak{P}_5 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{F}_2$ sending $X_{45} \mapsto X_0$, $X_{51} \mapsto X_1$ and $X_{i2} \mapsto 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{Z}/5$). Since $(p_2 \otimes id)(\text{Exp}\Omega_5) = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(y) \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$, it induces the map $p_2^* : V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$ which gives $p_2^*([\frac{dz}{z}]) = [\frac{dy}{y}]$ and $p_2^*([\frac{dz}{1-z}]) = [\frac{dy}{1-y}]$. Hence $p_2^*(l_{\mathbf{a}}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^y$. Then $l_{\mathbf{a}}^y(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(p_2(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$ because $p_2(\varphi_{123}) = 0$.

Similarly consider the map $\mathcal{M}_{0,5} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ sending $[(x_1, \dots, x_5)] \mapsto [(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5)]$. This induces the projection $p_3 : U\mathfrak{P}_5 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{F}_2$ sending $X_{12} \mapsto X_0$, $X_{24} \mapsto X_1$ and $X_{i3} \mapsto 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{Z}/5$). Since $(p_3 \otimes id)(\text{Exp}\Omega_5) = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(xy) \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$, it induces the map $p_3^* : V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5})$ which gives $p_3^*([\frac{dz}{z}]) = [\frac{dx}{x} + \frac{dy}{y}]$ and $p_3^*([\frac{dz}{1-z}]) = [\frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy}]$. Hence $p_3^*(l_{\mathbf{a}}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}$. Then $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(p_3(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\varphi)$ because $p_3(\varphi_{123}) = 0$ by our assumption.

Consider the embedding of Hopf algebra $i_{123} : U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hookrightarrow U\mathfrak{P}_5$ sending $X_0 \mapsto X_{12}$ and $X_1 \mapsto X_{23}$. (Geometrically it is explained by the residue map in [BF] along the divisor $\{y = 0\}$.) Since $(i_{123} \otimes id)(\text{Exp}\Omega_4) = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(z; X_{12}, X_{23}) \in U\mathfrak{P}_5 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$, it induces the map $i_{123}^* : V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$ which gives $i_{123}^*([\frac{dy}{y}]) = i_{123}^*([\frac{dy}{1-y}]) = i_{123}^*([\frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy}]) = 0$. Express $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}$ and $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}$ as (2.7). In the expression each term contains at least one $\frac{dy}{y}$, $\frac{dy}{1-y}$ or $\frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy}$. Therefore we have $i_{123}^*(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}) = 0$ and $i_{123}^*(l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}) = 0$. Thus $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(\varphi_{123}) = 0$ and $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}(\varphi_{123}) = 0$. Next consider the embedding of Hopf algebra $i_{451} : U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hookrightarrow U\mathfrak{P}_5$ sending $X_0 \mapsto X_{45}$ and $X_1 \mapsto X_{51} = X_{23} + X_{24} + X_{34}$ (geometrically caused by the divisor $\{x = 1\}$.) Since $(i_{451} \otimes id)(\text{Exp}\Omega_4) = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(z; X_{45}, X_{23} + X_{24} + X_{34}) \in U\mathfrak{P}_5 \hat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$, it induces the map $i_{451}^* : V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$ which gives $i_{451}^*([\frac{dx}{x}]) = 0$, $i_{451}^*([\frac{dx}{1-x}]) = [\frac{dz}{1-z}]$, $i_{451}^*([\frac{dy}{y}]) = [\frac{dz}{z}]$, $i_{451}^*([\frac{dy}{1-y}]) = [\frac{dz}{1-z}]$ and $i_{451}^*([\frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy}]) = [\frac{dz}{1-z}]$. By induction on weight, $i_{451}^*(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}$ and $i_{451}^*(l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}$ can be deduced from the differential equations (2.6): for instance if $a_k = 1$, $k \neq 1$, $b_l \neq 1$ and $l \neq 1$, by (2.6) $i_{451}^*(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}) = [\frac{dz}{1-z} | i_{451}^*(l_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}), \mathbf{b}}^{x,y})] - [\frac{dz}{1-z} | i_{451}^*(l_{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1), (b_2, \dots, b_l)}^{x,y})] + [\frac{dz}{z} | i_{451}^*(l_{\mathbf{a}, (b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})}^{x,y})]$ but by our induction assumption it is equal to $[\frac{dz}{z} | l_{\mathbf{a}, (b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})}] = l_{\mathbf{ab}}$. Thus $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(\varphi_{451}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$. Let δ be the coproduct of $V(\mathcal{M}_{0,5})$. Express $\delta(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}) = \sum_i l'_i \otimes l''_i$ with $l'_i \in V_{m'_i}$ and $l''_i \in V_{m''_i}$ for some m'_i and m''_i . If $m''_i \neq 0$, $l''_i(\varphi_{123}) = 0$ because l''_i is a combination of elements of the form $l_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}}^{x,y}$ and $l_{\mathbf{e}}^{xy}$ for some indices

c, d and e. Since $\delta(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y})(1 \otimes \varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = \delta(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y})(\varphi_{451} \otimes \varphi_{123})$, $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = \sum_i l'_i(\varphi_{451}) \otimes l''_i(\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(\varphi_{451}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let φ be an element as in theorem 1.1. Suppose that \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are admissible. Then $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$.*

Proof . Because (1.6) implies $\varphi(X_0, X_1)\varphi(X_1, X_0) = 1$ (take a projection $U\mathfrak{P}_5 \rightarrow U\mathfrak{F}_2$), we have $\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123} = \varphi_{432}\varphi_{215}\varphi_{543}$. Put $\delta(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}) = \sum_i l'_i \otimes l''_i$. By the same arguments to the last paragraph of the proof of lemma 4.1, $l_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{123}) = 0$ and $l_{\mathbf{e}}^{xy}(\varphi_{123}) = 0$ for any indices \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} and \mathbf{e} . So we have $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{432}\varphi_{215}\varphi_{543}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{432}\varphi_{215}) = \sum_i l'_i(\varphi_{432}) \otimes l''_i(\varphi_{215})$. Consider the embedding of Hopf algebra $i_{432} : U\mathfrak{F}_2 \hookrightarrow U\mathfrak{P}_5$ sending $X_0 \mapsto X_{43}$ and $X_1 \mapsto X_{32}$ (geometrically caused by the exceptional divisor obtained by blowing up at $(x, y) = (1, 1)$.) Since $(i_{432} \otimes id)(\text{Exp}\Omega_4) = \text{Exp}\Omega_4(z; X_{34}, X_{23}) \in U\mathfrak{P}_5 \widehat{\otimes} V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$, it induces the morphism $i_{432}^* : V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}) \rightarrow V(\mathfrak{M}_{0,4})$ which gives $i_{432}^*([\frac{dx}{x}]) = 0$, $i_{432}^*([\frac{dx}{x-1}]) = [\frac{dz}{z-1}]$, $i_{432}^*([\frac{dy}{y}]) = 0$, $i_{432}^*([\frac{dy}{y-1}]) = [\frac{dz}{z}]$ and $i_{432}^*([\frac{xdy+ydx}{1-xy}]) = 0$. In each term of the expression $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x} = \sum_{I=(i_m, \dots, i_1)} c_I[\omega_{i_m} | \dots | \omega_{i_1}]$, the first component ω_{i_m} is always $\frac{dx}{x}$ or $\frac{dy}{y}$ because \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are admissible. So $i_{432}^*(l'_i) = 0$ unless $m'_i = 0$. Therefore $\sum_i l'_i(\varphi_{432}) \otimes l''_i(\varphi_{215}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{215})$. By the same arguments to lemma 4.1 again, $i_{215}^*(l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}$. Thus $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{215}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let φ be a group-like element in $k\langle\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle\rangle$ with $c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0$. Then $l_{\mathbf{a}}^x(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^y(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)$, $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi)$ and $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}^I(\varphi)$ for any index \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} .*

Proof . By the arguments in lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\mathbf{a}}^x(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) &= l_{\mathbf{a}}(p_4(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi), \\ l_{\mathbf{a}}^y(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) &= l_{\mathbf{a}}(p_2(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi), \\ l_{\mathbf{a}}^{xy}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) &= l_{\mathbf{a}}(p_3(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123})) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi) = l_{\mathbf{a}}^I(\varphi) \\ \text{and } l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) &= l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{x,y}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}^I(\varphi). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let φ be an element as in theorem 1.1. Suppose that \mathbf{b} is admissible. Then $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$.*

Proof . We have $l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{451}\varphi_{123}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{432}\varphi_{215}\varphi_{543}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(\varphi_{432}e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{215}) = \sum_i l'_i(\varphi_{432}) \otimes l''_i(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{215})$ because $e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{432} = \varphi_{432}e^{TX_{51}}$. Since \mathbf{b} is admissible, $i_{432}^*(l'_i)$ is of the form $\alpha[\frac{dz}{z} | \dots | \frac{dz}{z}]$ with $\alpha \in k$. By our assumption, $c_{X_0 \dots X_0}(\varphi) = 0$. So $l'_i(i_{432}(\varphi)) = l'_i(\varphi_{432}) = 0$ unless $m'_i = 0$. Thus $\sum_i l'_i(\varphi_{432}) \otimes l''_i(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{215}) = l_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{y,x}(e^{TX_{51}}\varphi_{215}) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi)$. Since \mathbf{b} is admissible, $l_{\mathbf{ab}}(e^{TX_1}\varphi) = l_{\mathbf{ab}}(\varphi)$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.5

This section gives a proof of theorem 0.5.

Put $F_2'(k) = \{\varphi \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 | \varphi : \text{group-like}, c_{X_0}(\varphi) = c_{X_1}(\varphi) = 0\}$. It forms a group with respect to (0.1) and contains $DMR_0(k)$ as a subgroup. Consider the map $m : (F_2'(k), \circ) \rightarrow k[[x_0, x_1]]^\times$ sending φ to its meta-abelian quotient $B_\varphi(x_0, x_1)$. By a direct calculation we see that it is a group homomorphism, i.e. $m(\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2) = m(\varphi_1) \cdot m(\varphi_2)$. Put $B(k) = \{b \in k[[x_0, x_1]]^\times | b(x_0, x_1) = \frac{c(x_0)c(x_1)}{c(x_0+x_1)} \text{ for } c(s) \in 1 + s^2k[[s]]\}$. It is a subgroup of $k[[x_0, x_1]]^\times$. The first statement of theorem 0.5 claims $m(DMR_\mu(k)) \subset B(k)$ for all $\mu \in k$.

Proposition 5.1. $m(DMR_0(k)) \subset B(k)$.

Proof . Let M be the Lie algebra homomorphism, associated with $m|_{DMR_0}$, from the Lie algebra \mathfrak{dmr}_0 (see Appendix A) of DMR_0 to the trivial Lie algebra $k[[x_0, x_1]]$. In order to prove our proposition it is enough to show $M(\mathfrak{dmr}_0) \subset \mathfrak{B}$ where \mathfrak{B} is the Lie subalgebra $\{\beta \in k[[x_0, x_1]] \mid \beta(x_0, x_1) = \gamma(x_0) + \gamma(x_1) - \gamma(x_0 + x_1) \text{ for } \gamma(s) \in s^2 k[[s]]\}$ with trivial Lie structure.

Lemma 5.2. For $\psi \in \mathfrak{dmr}_0$ with $\psi = \psi_{X_0} X_0 + \psi_{X_1} X_1$, $M(\psi) = (\psi_{X_1} X_1)^{ab}$.

Proof . The exponential map $\text{Exp} : \mathfrak{dmr}_0 \rightarrow DMR_0$ is given by the formula $\psi \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} (\mu_\psi + d_\psi)^i(1) = 1 + \psi + \frac{1}{2}(\psi^2 + d_\psi(\psi))^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\psi^3 + 2\psi d_\psi(\psi) + d_\psi(\psi)\psi + d_\psi^2(\psi)) + \dots$ (μ_ψ : the left multiplication by ψ and d_ψ : see our appendix) in [DG] Remark 5.15. By a direct calculation, it can be checked that $M \circ \text{Exp}(\psi) = \exp(\psi_{X_1} X_1)^{ab}$, which implies our lemma. \square

By the above lemma the proof of $M(\mathfrak{dmr}_0) \subset \mathfrak{B}$ is reduced to the following.

Lemma 5.3. For $\psi \in \mathfrak{dmr}_0$,

$$\sum_{\substack{wt(\mathbf{a})=w \\ dp(\mathbf{a})=m}} l_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-1} \binom{w}{m} \frac{l_w(\psi)}{w} & \text{for } m < w, \\ 0 & \text{for } m = w. \end{cases}$$

Proof . By (A.1) we have $\sum_{\sigma \in Sh \leq (k,l)} l_{\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}(\psi_*) = 0$ with $dp(\mathbf{a}) = k$ and $dp(\mathbf{b}) = l$. By taking $k = m$ and $l = 1$,

$$\sum_{wt(\mathbf{a})=w, dp(\mathbf{a})=m+1} (m+1)l_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi_*) + \sum_{wt(\mathbf{a})=w, dp(\mathbf{a})=m} (w-m)l_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi_*) = 0.$$

Then the lemma follows because $l_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi_*) = l_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi)$ for $dp(\mathbf{a}) \neq wt(\mathbf{a})$. \square

The above lemma follows proposition 5.1. \square

To complete the proof of the first statement of theorem 0.5 we may assume that $\mu = 1$ and $k = \mathbf{Q}$. Let φ be any element of $DMR_1(\mathbf{Q})$. Put $\varphi_{KZ} = \Phi_{KZ}(\frac{X_0}{2\pi i}, \frac{X_1}{2\pi i})$. It gives an element of $DMR_1(\mathbf{C})$. Because DMR_1 is a right DMR_0 -torsor there exists uniquely $\varphi' \in DMR_0(\mathbf{C})$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_{KZ} \circ \varphi'$. In [Dr] it is shown that $m(\varphi_{KZ}) \in B(\mathbf{C})$. By proposition 5.1, $m(\varphi') \in B(\mathbf{C})$. Thus $m(\varphi) = m(\varphi_{KZ})m(\varphi')$ must lie on B . The proof of the second statement is easy. Express $\log \Gamma_\varphi(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n(\varphi)s^n$. Then by (0.2) $d_n(\varphi) = \frac{-1}{n} c_{X_0^{n-1}X_1}(\varphi)$. This completes the proof of theorem 0.5. \square

APPENDIX A. REVIEW OF THE PROOF OF RACINET'S THEOREM

In [R] theorem I, Racinet shows a highly non-trivial result that DMR_0 is closed by the multiplication (0.1). However his proof looks too complicated. The aim of this appendix is to review the essential part ([R] proposition 4.A.i) of his proof clearly in the case of $\Gamma = \{1\}$ in order to help the readers to catch his arguments.

In [R]3.3.1, \mathfrak{dmr}_0 is introduced to be the set of formal Lie series $\psi \in \mathfrak{F}_2 = \{\psi \in U\mathfrak{F}_2 \mid \Delta(\psi) = 1 \otimes \psi + \psi \otimes 1\}$ satisfying $c_{X_0}(\psi) = c_{X_1}(\psi) = 0$ and

$$(A.1) \quad \Delta_*(\psi_*) = 1 \otimes \psi_* + \psi_* \otimes 1$$

with $\psi_* = \psi_{\text{corr}} + \pi_Y(\psi)$ and $\psi_{\text{corr}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} c_{X_0^{n-1}X_1}(\psi) Y_1^n$. It is the tangent vector space at the origin of DMR_0 .

Theorem A.1 ([R] proposition 4.A.i). *The set \mathfrak{dmt}_0 has a structure of Lie algebra with the Lie bracket ⁶ given by*

$$\{\psi_1, \psi_2\} = d_{\psi_2}(\psi_1) - d_{\psi_1}(\psi_2) - [\psi_1, \psi_2]$$

where d_ψ is the derivation given by $d_\psi(X_0) = 0$ and $d_\psi(X_1) = [X_1, \psi]$.

Proof . Put $U\mathfrak{F}_Y = k\langle Y_1, Y_2, \dots \rangle$. It is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{F}_Y = \{\psi_* \in U\mathfrak{F}_Y \mid \psi_* \text{ satisfies (A.1)}\}$ with the coproduct Δ_* . By the map sending Y_m to $-X_0^{m-1}X_1$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) we frequently regard $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ as a k -linear subspace of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$. Define S_X to be the antipode, the anti-automorphism of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ such that $S_X(X_0) = -X_0$ and $S_X(X_1) = -X_1$ and R_Y to be the anti-automorphism of $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ such that $R_Y(Y_n) = Y_n$ ($n \geq 1$).

Let f be any element of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$. Define the k -linear endomorphism s_f of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ by $s_f(v) = fv + d_f(v)$. It induces the k -linear endomorphism s_f^Y of $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ such that $\pi_Y \circ s_f = s_f^Y \circ \pi_Y$. Define the k -linear endomorphism D_f^Y of $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ by $D_f^Y(w) = s_f^Y(w) - w\pi_Y(f)$. Direct computations show that D_f^Y forms a derivation of the non-commutative algebra $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ ([R] proposition 4.1.4) and actually it is the restriction into $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ of the derivation D_f of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ defined by $X_0 \mapsto [f, X_0]$ and $X_1 \mapsto 0$ ([R] remark 4.1.5.) The following is obtained by a direct calculation.

Lemma A.2 ([R] lemma 4.3.4). *Let f be a homogeneous element of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ with degree p such that $S_X(f) = -f$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^p$ be elements of $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ characterised by $f = \sum_{i=0}^p f_i X_0^i$. Then $D_f^Y(Y_n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i,i+n}$ with $f_{i,i+n} = f_i Y_{n+i} + Y_{n+i} \bar{f}_i$ and $\bar{f}_i = (-1)^p R_Y(f_i)$ for $n \geq 1$.*

Put ∂_0 to be the derivation of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ defined by $\partial_0(X_0) = 1$ and $\partial_0(X_1) = 0$. Define the map $\text{sec} : U\mathfrak{F}_Y \rightarrow U\mathfrak{F}_2$ by $\text{sec}(w) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \partial_0^i(w) X_0^i$, which actually maps to $\ker \partial_0 \subset U\mathfrak{F}_2$. The map $\text{sec} : U\mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow \ker \partial_0$ is the inverse of $\pi_Y|_{\ker \partial_0}$ ([R] proposition 4.2.2.) Since $\mathfrak{F}_2^{\geq 1} \subset \ker \partial_0$ and $\text{sec}(Y_1^n) = Y_1^n$ ($n \geq 1$), we have

$$(A.2) \quad \text{sec } \psi_* = \psi + \psi_{\text{corr}}$$

for $\psi \in \mathfrak{dmt}_0$.

Lemma A.3. *Let g be a homogeneous element of $U\mathfrak{F}_2$ with degree p . Assume $g \in \mathfrak{F}_Y$ and $S_X(\text{sec } g) = -\text{sec } g$. Then $\sum_{i \geq k} f_{i,i-k} = \frac{(p-1)!}{k!} \{1 + (-1)^p\} c_{X_0^{p-1}X_1}(g) Y_{p-k}$ with $f = \text{sec } g$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

It is proved in [R] 4.3.8 by a direct calculation. The key point is that both f_i and \bar{f}_i belong to \mathfrak{F}_Y (which follows from [R] corollary 4.2.6 and proposition 4.3.3.) Under the above assumption, it is calculated in [R] (4.3.9) that $\Delta_* \circ D_f^Y(Y_n) - (id \otimes D_f^Y + D_f^Y \otimes id) \Delta_*(Y_n) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i \geq k} \{f_{i,i-k} \otimes Y_{n+k} + Y_{n+k} \otimes f_{i,i-k}\}$ with $f = \text{sec } g$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition A.4 ([R] proposition 4.3.1). *For $\psi \in \mathfrak{dmt}_0$, $s_{\text{sec } \psi_*}^Y$ forms a coderivation of $U\mathfrak{F}_Y$ with respect to Δ_* .*

Proof . Since ψ is an element of \mathfrak{F}_2 , $S_X(\psi) = -\psi$. Since $c_{X_0^{n-1}X_1}(\psi) = 0$ for n : even ([R] proposition 3.3.3), $S_X(\psi_{\text{corr}}) = -\psi_{\text{corr}}$. Therefore $S_X(\text{sec } \psi_*) = -\text{sec } \psi_*$ by (A.2). By lemma A.3 we have $\Delta_* \circ D_f^Y(Y_n) = (id \otimes D_f^Y + D_f^Y \otimes id) \Delta_*(Y_n)$ with $f = \text{sec } \psi_*$ for all $n \geq 1$, which implies that the derivation $D_{\text{sec } \psi_*}^Y$ forms a

⁶ For our convenience, we change the order of bracket in [R] (3.1.10.2.)

coderivation. Since $\psi_* = \pi_Y \circ \sec \psi_*$ lies on \mathfrak{F}_Y , the right multiplication by ψ_* forms a coderivation. Therefore $s_{\sec \psi_*}^Y$ must form a coderivation. \square

Recall that $s_\psi^Y(1) = \pi_Y(\psi)$ and $[s_\psi, s_{X_1^n}] = 0$ for any ψ and $n > 0$ and $s_{\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}} = [s_{\psi_2}, s_{\psi_1}]$ for any ψ_1 and ψ_2 . Assume that ψ_1 and ψ_2 be elements of $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{r}_0$. Then $s_{\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}} = [s_{\psi_2}, s_{\psi_1}] = [s_{\sec \psi_2}, s_{\sec \psi_1}]$ by (A.2). Therefore $\pi_Y(\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}) = s_{\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}}^Y(1) = [s_{\sec \psi_2}^Y, s_{\sec \psi_1}^Y](1)$. By lemma A.4 $s_{\sec \psi_i}^Y$ ($i = 1, 2$) forms a coderivation. So $[s_{\sec \psi_2}^Y, s_{\sec \psi_1}^Y]$ forms a coderivation. Since $\pi_Y(\{\psi_1, \psi_2\})$ is the image of 1 by the coderivation, it must be Lie-like with respect to Δ_* . That means (A.1) holds for $\psi = \{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ because $\psi_{\text{corr}} = 0$. It completes the proof of theorem A.1. \square

Acknowledgments . The author is grateful to F.Brown for valuable discussions and P.Deligne for his suggestions. He also has special thanks to L.Schneps for her significant comments and her explaining me a shorter proof in the Lie algebra setting. He is supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad and JSPS Core-to-Core Program 18005.

REFERENCES

- [A] André, Y., Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes), Panoramas et Synthèses, 17, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004.
- [B] Brown, F., Multiple zeta values and periods of moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$, arXiv:math/0606419.
- [BF] Besser, A., Furusho, H., The double shuffle relations for p -adic multiple zeta values, Primes and knots, 9–29, Contemp. Math., 416, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [C] Chen, K. T., Iterated path integrals, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), no. 5, 831–879.
- [De] Deligne, P., Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points, Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} (Berkeley, CA, 1987), 79–297, Math. S. Res. Inst. Publ., 16, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1989.
- [DG] ———, Goncharov, A. B., Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), no. 1, 1–56.
- [DT] ———, Terasoma, T., Harmonic shuffle relation for associators, preprint available from www2.lifl.fr/mzv2005/DOC/Terasoma/lille_terasoma.pdf
- [Dr] Drinfel'd, V. G., On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), no. 4, 829–860
- [E] Euler, L., Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus, Novi Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae 20, 1776, pp. 140–186 and Opera Omnia: Series 1, Volume 15, pp. 217 – 267 (also available from www.math.dartmouth.edu/~euler/)
- [F03] Furusho, H., The multiple zeta value algebra and the stable derivation algebra, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Vol 39. no 4. (2003). 695–720.
- [F08] ———, Pentagon and hexagon equations, to appear in Annals of Mathematics.
- [Go] Goncharov, A. B., Periods and mixed motives, arXiv:math/0202154, preprint in 2002.
- [Gr] Grothendieck, A., Esquisse d'un programme, 1983, available on pp. 243–283. London Math. Soc. LNS 242, Geometric Galois actions, 1, 5–48, Cambridge Univ.
- [H] Hoffman, M. E., The algebra of multiple harmonic series, J. Algebra 194 (1997), no. 2, 477–495.
- [I] Ihara, Y., On beta and gamma functions associated with the Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups, Aspects of Galois theory, 144–179, London Math. Soc. LNS 256, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [IKZ] Ihara, K., Kaneko, M., Zagier, D., Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 2, 307–338.
- [LM] Le, T.T.Q., Murakami, J.; Kontsevich's integral for the Kauffman polynomial, Nagoya Math. J. 142 (1996), 39–65.
- [R] Racinet, G., Doubles melanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l'unité, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. No. 95 (2002), 185–231.
- [T] Terasoma, T., Geometry of multiple zeta values, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol.II, 627–635, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2006.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA,
464-8602, JAPAN

E-mail address: `furusho@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, 45, RUE
D'ULM, F 75230 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

E-mail address: `Hidekazu.Furusho@ens.fr`